



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,091	05/13/2005	Kevin Russel Oliver	T1590YP	2027	
210	7590 03/31/2006		EXAM	INER	
MERCK AND CO., INC			ULM, J	ULM, JOHN D	
P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1649	1649	
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/535,091	OLIVER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John D. Ulm	1649		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-54</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific part of the speci	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/535,091

Art Unit: 1649

1) Claims 23 to 54 are pending in the instant application.

2) Claims 23 to 54 are objected to as reciting an improper Markush Group.

Page 2

M.P.E.P. 803.02 states that:

"Since the decisions in In re Weber **,198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978); and In re Haas, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention, In re Harnish, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); Ex Parte Hozumi, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984). Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility."

The VR2 polypeptide, the modulatory compound, the encoding polynucleotide and the antisense polynucleotide lack unity of invention because they lack a common utility that is based upon a common structure feature or combination of features that distinguishes them as a group from compounds of the prior art.

3) Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 23 to 41, 44, 45, 50 and 51, only in so far as they are drawn to a method of treating by administering a polypeptide.

Group II, claims 23 to 43 and 49, only in so far as they are drawn to a method of treating by administering a activity modulating compound of unspecified constitution.

Art Unit: 1649

Group III, claims 23 to 41, 46 to 48 and 52 to 54, only in so far as they are drawn to a method of treating by administering a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide.

Group IV, claims 23 to 41, only in so far as they are drawn to a method of treating by administering an antisense polynucleotide.

The inventions listed as Groups I to IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The polypeptide of invention I, the modulatory compound of invention II, the polynucleotide of invention III and the antisense molecule of invention IV lack unity of invention because they lack a common utility that is based upon a common structural feature or combination of features that distinguishes them as a group from the prior art. The first page of the instant specification concedes the recited polypeptide and polynucleotide to the prior art. Therefore, the relationship between the recited polypeptide and a polynucleotide encoding that polypeptide can not be relied upon as a distinguishing special technical feature.

4) Claims 23 to 54 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of disorders. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1649

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,091

Art Unit: 1649

. . . .

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN ULM PRIMATY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 5